## Distributed Multithreaded Caching D Compiler

Robert Schadek





May 1, 2013

# Agenda

- Introduction
- 2 Compiler Modifications
  - Overview of Compiler Phases
  - Lexer Parser Communication
  - Multi Threaded Semantic Analysis
  - Caching
  - Distribution
- 3 The Lexer Generator dex
- 4 The Parser Generator dalr
- **5** Conclusion

- basic compiler structure has not really changed since Grace Hopper
- hardware capabilities have improved enormously

- basic compiler structure has not really changed since Grace Hopper
- hardware capabilities have improved enormously
- adapt compiler to changed hardware
- learn everything that might be of interest from container to printf style formatting
- graduate



- multithreading: use all CPUs
- caching: use the available RAM
- distributing: distribute work in a network



- multithreading: use all CPUs
- caching: use the available RAM
- distributing: distribute work in a network
- lexer generator
- parser generator
- library with container etc.

## Overview of Compiler Phases



- classic Producer Consumer Problem
- historically a parser asks a lexer for a token

- classic Producer Consumer Problem
- historically a parser asks a lexer for a token
- using IO devices interruptively
  - wastes IO performance
  - ▶ OS might move HDD head away

- classic Producer Consumer Problem
- historically a parser asks a lexer for a token
- using IO devices interruptively
  - ▶ wastes IO performance
  - ▶ OS might move HDD head away
- lexer creates token in a separate thread
- synchronisation is limited by copying multiple tokens at a time

### Lexer Parser Communication



- semantic analysis checks if the program follow the rules
- this is done by traversing the Abstract Syntax Tree (AST) and looking into the symbol table

- semantic analysis checks if the program follow the rules
- this is done by traversing the Abstract Syntax Tree (AST) and looking into the symbol table
- analysis should not modify any data
- tests are independent of each other

- semantic analysis checks if the program follow the rules
- this is done by traversing the Abstract Syntax Tree (AST) and looking into the symbol table
- analysis should not modify any data
- tests are independent of each other
- write test as independent functions
- run tests in parallel

- semantic analysis checks if the program follow the rules
- this is done by traversing the Abstract Syntax Tree (AST) and looking into the symbol table
- analysis should not modify any data
- tests are independent of each other
- write test as independent functions
- run tests in parallel (without any locking)

## Multi Threaded Semantic Analysis Benchmark





one thread



- source files are not independent of each other
- many files get imported many times (e.g. stdio)
- unchanged files do not need to be read from the disk again
- use cached data for distributing work



- source files are not independent of each other
- many files get imported many times (e.g. stdio)
- unchanged files do not need to be read from the disk again
- use cached data for distributing work
- file level
- token level
- AST level



- source files are not independent of each other
- many files get imported many times (e.g. stdio)
- unchanged files do not need to be read from the disk again
- use cached data for distributing work
- file level
- token level
- AST level (here it gets interesting)

# Linear Trees



# Linear Trees



- simplify storing of ASTs in cache
- simplify serializing ASTs

- simplify storing of ASTs in cache
- simplify serializing ASTs
- flattening complete uniform trees is easy (binary heap, d-ary heap)
- ASTs are neither complete nor uniform

#### Linear Trees



## Linear Tree Benchmark

# nodes	Class based	Struct based	# nodes	Class based	Struct based
2 <sup>8</sup>	0.0	0.0	2 <sup>8</sup>	0.0	0.0
2 <sup>9</sup>	0.0	0.0	2 <sup>9</sup>	0.0	0.0
2 <sup>10</sup>	0.0	0.0	2 <sup>10</sup>	0.0	0.0
2 <sup>11</sup>	0.0	0.0	2 <sup>11</sup>	0.0	0.0
2 <sup>12</sup>	1.0	1.2	2 <sup>12</sup>	0.0	0.0
2 <sup>13</sup>	1.7	4.8	2 <sup>13</sup>	0.0	0.0
2 <sup>14</sup>	6.1	11.5	2 <sup>14</sup>	0.0	1.0
2 <sup>15</sup>	10.7	23.0	2 <sup>15</sup>	0.0	2.0
2 <sup>16</sup>	27.3	47.9	2 <sup>16</sup>	2.1	7.3
2 <sup>17</sup>	53.4	100.2	2 <sup>17</sup>	6.2	19.1
2 <sup>18</sup>	109.3	192.7	2 <sup>18</sup>	14.3	38.5
2 <sup>19</sup>	278.9	403.6	2 <sup>19</sup>	31.3	76.7
2 <sup>20</sup>	1246.6	815.3	2 <sup>20</sup>	65.0	154.2

Tree building time in msecs.

Tree traversal time in msecs.

- while developing, many CPUs are idle
- multiplied by the number of workstations in an department
- networks are fast wrt. the size of a source file

- while developing, many CPUs are idle
- multiplied by the number of workstations in an department
- networks are fast wrt. the size of a source file
- distribute the compilation of source files to workstations in the network
- compiler becomes a daemon

## The Lexer Generator dex

- deterministic finite automaton (DFA) tokenizer
- table driven
- user can supply error recovery function
- supports UTF-8
  - ▶ transition table is compressed

### The Lexer Generator dex



## The Lexer Generator dex

state mapping		input	input mapping		transition table				
state	row	input	column	-		0	1	2	3
0	0	а	0		0	0	0	4	-1
4	1	b	1		1	-1	-1	-1	5
5	2	с	2		2	-1	-1	-1	5
7	3	d	3		3	-1	-1	7	-1
Original DFA Table									

state mapping		input mapping		tra	transition table			
state	row	input	column				<u>) ) (1</u>	
0	0	2	0		U	1	2	
U	U		U	0	0	4	-1	
4	1	b	0	-	-	-	_	
F	1	~	1	1	-1	-1	5	
5	T	C	T	2	_1	7	_1	
7	2	d	2	2	-1	1	-1	
Minimized DFA Table								

#### The Parser Generator dalr

- (g|la)lr1 parser generator
- table driven
- accepts all of Chomsky 2 (context free grammars)

#### The Parser Generator dalr

- (g|la)lr1 parser generator
- table driven
- accepts all of Chomsky 2 (context free grammars)
  - ▶ user code required to remove ambiguities

- table driven unicode Lexer possible but infeasible
- splitting lexer and parser works well
- caching has great potential
  - especially with linear trees
- multi threaded semantic analysis is a good approach

- table driven unicode Lexer possible but infeasible
- splitting lexer and parser works well
- caching has great potential
  - especially with linear trees
- multi threaded semantic analysis is a good approach
  - ▶ if not for speed, then at least for clean code

# Using D





What is already there:

- fast turnaround time (crash-fix-build-run)
- compact expressive code



What is already there:

- fast turnaround time (crash-fix-build-run)
- compact expressive code

What will be there:

- good documentation
- : instead of ..
- containers

# The End

The most dangerous phrase in the language is, "We've always done it this way."

Rear Admiral Grace Murray Hopper (December 9, 1906 – January 1, 1992)



https://github.com/burner/libhurt
https://github.com/burner/dex
https://github.com/burner/dalr
https://github.com/burner/dmcd

http://www.svs.informatik.uni-oldenburg.de/60865.html