# Unified Concurrent Runtime for D

DConf 2018 Dmitry Olshansky

# Unify what?

In essence it's all about fibers ...and threads that schedule them

Fiber is a cooperative user-mode thread

D's fibers are scheduled *manually* 

Hence we have a multitude of custom schedulers

Vibe.d is a popular one

# Fibers primer

```
struct Node {
   int payload;
   Node* left, right;
void main(){
   int current;
    auto tree = new Node(3, new Node(2, new Node(1)), new Node(4));
    void postorder(Node* n) {
        if (n.left) postorder(n.left);
        current = n.payload;
        Fiber.yield();
        if (n.right) postorder(n.right);
   Fiber f = new Fiber(() => postorder(tree));
    for (;;) {
        f.call();
        if (f.state == Fiber.State.TERM) break;
        writeln(current);
    }
```

# Fibers and I/O

#### Now with I/O it gets tricky - the thread would be blocked

```
// Sketch of TCP echo server
import std.socket;
void echo(Socket sock, ubyte[] buffer)
    ssize t read, write;
    for(;;) {
        do {
           read = sock.read(buffer[]);
           if (sock.wouldHaveBlocked) Fiber.yield();
            else if (read <= 0) break;</pre>
        } while(read < 0);</pre>
        do {
           write = sock.write(buffer[0..read]);
            if (sock.wouldHaveBlocked) Fiber.yield();
            else if (read <= 0) break;</pre>
        } while(write < 0);</pre>
```

Suboptimal w/o eventloop + somebody needs to 'call' fibers

# Fibers and I/O

#### Vibe.d chooses to provide its own I/O API

```
import vibe.vibe;
void main()
{
    listenTCP(7, (conn) { conn.write(conn); });
    runApplication();
}
```

Nice and clean

Or is it?



Using anything not Fiber-aware will block thread and ruin performance

There are zero C/C++/Rust libraries that are made to run on top of Vibe.d

std.net.curl, std.socket, std.process do *not* work with Fibers std.concurrency was *extended* to work with custom scheduler

To Fiberize or not, that is the question

### **Poject Photon**

Transparent fiber scheduler that works with \*most\* blocking C/C++/etc code

Multi-threaded with great performance out of the box

Look beyond I/O and 3rd party libraries: Events, Channels/Queues

# Photon in action

#### Parallel download of files with std.net.curl, Photon edition

```
import std.net.curl, std.stdio, std.datetime.stopwatch;
import photon;
immutable urls = [ "https://...", ... ];
void main(){
    startloop(); // init Photon eventloop (to be done lazily soon)
    void spawnDownload(string url, string file) {
        spawn(() => download(url, string file));
    }
    StopWatch sw;
    sw.start();
    foreach(url; urls) spawnDownload(url, url.split('/').back);
    runFibers(); // start schedulers and run fibers
    sw.stop();
    writefln("Done: %s ms", sw.peek.total!"msecs");
```

# Photon in action

Parallel download of files with std.net.curl, fiber edition

12 files (~1Mb file) Sequential: 16 sec Threads: **5.3** sec Fibers: **5.9** sec 3 files (~200Kb each)

Sequential: 4.4 sec

Threads: 0.46 sec

Fibers: 0.52 sec

The test is super noisy (easily ~30%) on the internet We are in the ballpark of dedicated threads ...even when running photon on a single core



### Transparent scheduler

Fiber.yield needs to happen in some 3rd party library

Most code calls libc syscall wrapper!

That is precisely the point of our "integration" ... on Posix-like OS

# Shadowing libc syscalls

#### Put in a shared library linked *before* the libc

```
... // 6 or so more
```

Scheduler (Linux ver.) Thread per "Core" (SMT etc.) with affinity mask All I/O state is static and pre-mapped memory "Cowboy" lock-free scheduler(s) <-> event loop interface

Fibers are pinned to thread based on load via "power of 2 random choices"

Some things are "Research code" quality

# Scheduler in picture (v2)



# Scheduler in picture (v3?)



#### **Descriptor state**











What about Windows? Same technique would work... but there is no "small" libc WinAPI is **10,000+** API entry points Yet there is User Mode Scheduling subsystem Which is kernel-assisted support for user-mode threads (of sorts...)

# UMS in 5 seconds

Under User-Mode we get to create real threads:

- 1. *Never* scheduled by the NT *kernel scheduler*
- 2. To run them we pick a set of normal dedicated (per core typically) *scheduler threads and run them explicitly*

#### Better then a fiber in that:

- 1. An OS will automatically switch to scheduler should the current thread about to block
- 2. Any blocking event such as pagefault

*3. Each thread has 1:1 user:kernel mapping, TLS and other goodies* 











# **UMS** in pictures







UMS scheduler thread UT0

KT1 is completed and UT1 is put in completion queue where our scheduler grabs it

### It's not all roses

On subject, there is

1 interview with Windows Kernel Architect

2 examples with comments like "meh, doesn't improve performance for simple test"

There *was* nice video at the BUILD conference on Windows 8, can't find it anymore

The real kicker:

Not my code nor any of 2 examples actually run on VirtualBox VM

### **Enter Alexander Ionescu**

"A few months ago, as part of looking through the changes in Windows 10 Anniversary Update for the Windows Internals 7th Edition book, I noticed that the kernel began enforcing usage of the CR4[FSGSBASE] feature ... in order to allow usage of User Mode Scheduling (UMS)."

Freaking great find, Alex! VirtualBox doesn't propagate that CPU flag

For future poor souls seeking to enable UMS: http://www.alex-ionescu.com/?p=340

# **Closing notes on UMS**

- It is still more efficient to just use normal threads if we do not do async I/O with completion ports
- Photon overrides parts of WinSock like on Linux to use Overlapped I/O with Completion Ports
- There is a nice option to use **RIO** sockets, should be super fast but trickier to get right
- UMS thread is ~100x slower to *spawn* then Fiber
- The whole thing is x64 only and Ivy Bridge or later CPU

Case study: HTTP server Fast HTTP is not the main point of Photon Using thin-wrapped Node.js (Nginx) HTTP parser Using plain std.socket to prove the point Add in a few sensible performance optimisations Peper it by a couple of speedhacks (competion does it as well, so why not)

# Case study: HTTP server

#### HTTP server in Photon runtime

```
void server() {
    Socket server = new TcpSocket();
    server.setOption(SocketOptionLevel.SOCKET, SocketOption.REUSEADDR, true);
    server.bind(new InternetAddress("0.0.0.0", 8080));
    server.listen(1000);
   void processClient(Socket client) {
        spawn(() => server worker(client));
   while(true) {
        try {
            Socket client = server.accept();
            processClient(client);
        catch(Exception e) {
            writefln("Failure to accept %s", e);
void main() {
    startloop();
   spawn(() => server());
    runFibers();
```

#### **Case study: HTTP server** HTTP server in plain D threads

```
void server() {
    Socket server = new TcpSocket();
    server.setOption(SocketOptionLevel.SOCKET, SocketOption.REUSEADDR, true);
    server.bind(new InternetAddress("0.0.0.0", 8080));
    server.listen(1000);
    void processClient(Socket client) {
        new Thread(() => server worker(client)).start();
    while(true) {
        try {
            Socket client = server.accept();
            processClient(client);
        catch(Exception e) {
            writefln("Failure to accept %s", e);
void main() {
    new Thread(() => server()).start();
```

# Case study: HTTP server

#### Common part - bare bones HTTP processor

import utils.http\_server;

```
class HelloWorldProcessor : HttpProcessor {
    HttpHeader[] headers = [HttpHeader("Content-Type", "text/plain; charset=utf-8
    this(Socket sock){ super(sock); }
    override void onComplete(HttpRequest req) {
        respondWith("Hello, world!", 200, headers);
    }
}
```

Time to bench threads vs fibers And a few of top guns from TechEmpower benchmark And I'm taking DMD to this gunfight







#### All things RSS(mb) HTTP hello





#### Just label the obvious. Works for MPSC queue

### Event, channel, source

```
interface Event {
   bool ready();
   void reset(); // typically called by await in Event-loop
}
size_t await(R)(R events)
if(isEvent!ElementType!R)) {
... event loop / scheduler magic
}
```

Channel is an OutputRange

Source is an InputRange

### **Event-aware algorithms**

```
struct Map(R) {
 R range;
  bool ready() { range.ready: }
  auto event(){ return range.event; }
struct Zip(RS...) {
 RS ranges;
 bool ready() {
     return ranges.reduce!(true)((a,b) => a && b.ready);
  }
 auto event(){
     return ranges.map!(x => x.event);
```

# **Bright future**

Most of the ground work is done on: basic I/O, primitive scheduling of Fibers transparent integration of C libraries shown to work

Time to capitalize and expand:

- define composable multiplexing patterns
- "Executors" with Photon's current scheduler as an option
- Vibe.d on Photon (?)
- expand to cover more syscall surface

# Help wanted!

Current platforms - Windows x64 and Linux x64

Even if you are not thrilled to hack on Windows User Mode Something...

Lots of simple work to test things out!

32-bit Linux, FreeBSD and MacOS

BetterC Fibers would be an awesome addition

https://github.com/DmitryOlshansky/photon