

METAPROGRAMMING IN THE REAL WORLD

DON CLUGSTON



FROM RESEARCH MODE TO PRODUCTION

- ☉ My experience with Solar Photovoltaics

FROM RESEARCH MODE TO PRODUCTION

- ☉ **My experience with Solar Photovoltaics**
 - 1995 : Spacecraft and Hobbies

FROM RESEARCH MODE TO PRODUCTION

My experience with Solar Photovoltaics

- 1995 : Spacecraft and Hippies
- 2007 : NYSE, \$Billion

FROM RESEARCH MODE TO PRODUCTION

My experience with Solar Photovoltaics

- 1995 : Spacecraft and Hippies
- 2007 : NYSE, \$Billion
- 2011 : Commodity market

FROM RESEARCH MODE TO PRODUCTION

- 🕒 **My experience with Solar Photovoltaics**
 - 1995 : Spacecraft and Hippies
 - 2007 : NYSE, \$Billion
 - 2011 : Commodity market
- 🕒 **Early adopters show where your guesses were wrong!**

- 🕒 **Founded 2009, Berlin by 3 PhDs**

SOCIOMANTIC LABS GMBH

- 🕒 Founded 2009, Berlin by 3 PhDs
- 🕒 Real-time bidding for online advertising

SOCIOMANTIC LABS GMBH

- ① Founded 2009, Berlin by 3 PhDs
- ① Real-time bidding for online advertising
- ① Technology based

SOCIOMANTIC LABS GMBH

- 🕒 **Founded 2009, Berlin by 3 PhDs**
- 🕒 **Real-time bidding for online advertising**
- 🕒 **Technology based**
 - Core technology is 100% D

SOCIOMANTIC LABS GMBH

- 🕒 **Founded 2009, Berlin by 3 PhDs**
- 🕒 **Real-time bidding for online advertising**
- 🕒 **Technology based**
 - Core technology is 100% D
- 🕒 **Expanding globally**

SOCIOMANTIC LABS GMBH

- 🕒 **Founded 2009, Berlin by 3 PhDs**
- 🕒 **Real-time bidding for online advertising**
- 🕒 **Technology based**
 - Core technology is 100% D
- 🕒 **Expanding globally**
 - Serving 50+ markets on 6 continents

SOCIOMANTIC LABS GMBH

- 🕒 **Founded 2009, Berlin by 3 PhDs**
- 🕒 **Real-time bidding for online advertising**
- 🕒 **Technology based**
 - Core technology is 100% D
- 🕒 **Expanding globally**
 - Serving 50+ markets on 6 continents
 - Offices in 9 countries

SOCIOMANTIC LABS GMBH

- 🕒 **Founded 2009, Berlin by 3 PhDs**
- 🕒 **Real-time bidding for online advertising**
- 🕒 **Technology based**
 - Core technology is 100% D
- 🕒 **Expanding globally**
 - Serving 50+ markets on 6 continents
 - Offices in 9 countries
 - 100+ employees, 25+ nationalities

SOCIOMANTIC LABS GMBH

- 🕒 **Founded 2009, Berlin by 3 PhDs**
- 🕒 **Real-time bidding for online advertising**
- 🕒 **Technology based**
 - Core technology is 100% D
- 🕒 **Expanding globally**
 - Serving 50+ markets on 6 continents
 - Offices in 9 countries
 - 100+ employees, 25+ nationalities
- 🕒 **Profitable**

SOCIOMANTIC LABS GMBH

- 🕒 **Founded 2009, Berlin by 3 PhDs**
- 🕒 **Real-time bidding for online advertising**
- 🕒 **Technology based**
 - Core technology is 100% D
- 🕒 **Expanding globally**
 - Serving 50+ markets on 6 continents
 - Offices in 9 countries
 - 100+ employees, 25+ nationalities
- 🕒 **Profitable**
 - Growth based entirely on revenue

REAL-TIME BIDDING

 User visits web page

REAL-TIME BIDDING

- ① User visits web page
- ② While it loads, website auctions an ad space

REAL-TIME BIDDING

- ① User visits web page
- ② While it loads, website auctions an ad space
- ③ We bid on behalf of our advertisers

REAL-TIME BIDDING

- ① User visits web page
- ② While it loads, website auctions an ad space
- ③ We bid on behalf of our advertisers
- ④ Highest bidder gets to show their ad in the space

REAL-TIME BIDDING

- ① User visits web page
- ② While it loads, website auctions an ad space
- ③ We bid on behalf of our advertisers
- ④ Highest bidder gets to show their ad in the space
- ⑤ Bids must be placed within 50 milliseconds

REAL-TIME BIDDING

- ① User visits web page
- ② While it loads, website auctions an ad space
- ③ We bid on behalf of our advertisers
- ④ Highest bidder gets to show their ad in the space
- ⑤ Bids must be placed within 50 milliseconds
 - Including internet latency

REAL-TIME BIDDING

- 🕒 User visits web page
- 🕒 While it loads, website auctions an ad space
- 🕒 We bid on behalf of our advertisers
- 🕒 Highest bidder gets to show their ad in the space
- 🕒 Bids must be placed within 50 milliseconds
 - Including internet latency
- 🕒 Billions of auctions per day

- ① Must calculate how much this ad space is worth

BIG DATA

- ① Must calculate how much this ad space is worth
- ① Bid accuracy improves with more data

BIG DATA

- ① Must calculate how much this ad space is worth
- ① Bid accuracy improves with more data
 - Terabytes/day

BIG DATA

- ① Must calculate how much this ad space is worth
- ① Bid accuracy improves with more data
 - Terabytes/day
- ① Relational databases too slow + don't scale

BIG DATA

- ① Must calculate how much this ad space is worth
- ① Bid accuracy improves with more data
 - Terabytes/day
- ① Relational databases too slow + don't scale
- ① Everyone else uses an off-the shelf NoSQL product

BIG DATA

- ① Must calculate how much this ad space is worth
- ① Bid accuracy improves with more data
 - Terabytes/day
- ① Relational databases too slow + don't scale
- ① Everyone else uses an off-the shelf NoSQL product
 - and works around the speed bottlenecks

BIG DATA

- ① Must calculate how much this ad space is worth
- ① Bid accuracy improves with more data
 - Terabytes/day
- ① Relational databases too slow + don't scale
- ① Everyone else uses an off-the shelf NoSQL product
 - and works around the speed bottlenecks
- ① But we created an intrinsically fast solution, using D

BIG DATA

- ① Must calculate how much this ad space is worth
- ① Bid accuracy improves with more data
 - Terabytes/day
- ① Relational databases too slow + don't scale
- ① Everyone else uses an off-the shelf NoSQL product
 - and works around the speed bottlenecks
- ① But we created an intrinsically fast solution, using D
- ① 50 milliseconds (minus net latency) to place a bid

BIG DATA

- ① Must calculate how much this ad space is worth
- ① Bid accuracy improves with more data
 - Terabytes/day
- ① Relational databases too slow + don't scale
- ① Everyone else uses an off-the shelf NoSQL product
 - and works around the speed bottlenecks
- ① But we created an intrinsically fast solution, using D
- ① 50 milliseconds (minus net latency) to place a bid
 - Typical hard disk seek time is 9 ms

BIG DATA

- 🕒 Must calculate how much this ad space is worth
- 🕒 Bid accuracy improves with more data
 - Terabytes/day
- 🕒 Relational databases too slow + don't scale
- 🕒 Everyone else uses an off-the shelf NoSQL product
 - and works around the speed bottlenecks
- 🕒 But we created an intrinsically fast solution, using D
- 🕒 50 milliseconds (minus net latency) to place a bid
 - Typical hard disk seek time is 9 ms
 - For most bids we achieve ≤ 2 ms

OUR TECHNOLOGY STACK

- 🕒 Tango-based runtime (modified), own libraries

OUR TECHNOLOGY STACK

- 🕒 **Tango-based runtime (modified), own libraries**
 - Avoid ALL heap activity

OUR TECHNOLOGY STACK

- 🕒 **Tango-based runtime (modified), own libraries**
 - Avoid ALL heap activity
- 🕒 **Fiber-based concurrency (not threads)**

OUR TECHNOLOGY STACK

- 🕒 **Tango-based runtime (modified), own libraries**
 - Avoid ALL heap activity
- 🕒 **Fiber-based concurrency (not threads)**
- 🕒 **'Swarm' In-memory Distributed Hash Table**

OUR TECHNOLOGY STACK

- 🕒 **Tango-based runtime (modified), own libraries**
 - Avoid ALL heap activity
- 🕒 **Fiber-based concurrency (not threads)**
- 🕒 **'Swarm' In-memory Distributed Hash Table**
- 🕒 **Data stored in D format, no conversion**

OUR TECHNOLOGY STACK

- 🕒 **Tango-based runtime (modified), own libraries**
 - Avoid ALL heap activity
- 🕒 **Fiber-based concurrency (not threads)**
- 🕒 **'Swarm' In-memory Distributed Hash Table**
- 🕒 **Data stored in D format, no conversion**
- 🕒 **All processes stream-based and completely scalable**

- ① Direct binding to C libraries

WHY D?

- ☉ Direct binding to C libraries
- ☉ Array slices

WHY D?

- ☉ Direct binding to C libraries
- ☉ Array slices
 - Avoid heap activity, but stay correct

WHY D?

- ① Direct binding to C libraries
- ① Array slices
 - Avoid heap activity, but stay correct
- ① Painless compile-time programming

WHY D?

- 🕒 **Direct binding to C libraries**
- 🕒 **Array slices**
 - Avoid heap activity, but stay correct
- 🕒 **Painless compile-time programming**
 - eg, for serialization

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2001

🕒 Features to drop from C++

- C source code compatibility
- Link compatibility with C++
- Multiple inheritance
- Preprocessor
- Templates

-- Walter Bright, "D Spec Draft 1", (Aug 2001)

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2005

🕒 Templates!

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2005

- 🕒 Templates!
- 🕒 static if, static assert

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2005

- 🕒 Templates!
- 🕒 static if, static assert
- 🕒 Some reflection -- is() expressions

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2005

- 🕒 Templates!
- 🕒 static if, static assert
- 🕒 Some reflection -- is() expressions
- 🕒 Still defensive w.r.t C++

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2005

- 🕒 Templates!
- 🕒 static if, static assert
- 🕒 Some reflection -- is() expressions
- 🕒 Still defensive w.r.t C++
 - “If a language can capture 90% of the power of C++ with 10% of its complexity, I argue that is a worthwhile tradeoff.” - DMD FAQ

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2007

- 🕒 Improved constant folding

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2007

- ① Improved constant folding
- ① Compile Time Function Execution (CTFE)

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2007

- ① Improved constant folding
- ① Compile Time Function Execution (CTFE)
- ① string mixins

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2007

- ① Improved constant folding
- ① Compile Time Function Execution (CTFE)
- ① string mixins
- ① stringof

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2013

- 🕒 Template constraints

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2013

- 🕒 Template constraints
- 🕒 `__traits` (just as ugly as `is()` expressions!)

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2013

- 🕒 Template constraints
- 🕒 `__traits` (just as ugly as `is()` expressions!)
- 🕒 `alias this`

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2013

- 🕒 Template constraints
- 🕒 `__traits` (just as ugly as `is()` expressions!)
- 🕒 `alias this`
- 🕒 `opDispatch`

D METAPROGRAMMING IN 2013

- 🕒 Template constraints
- 🕒 `__traits` (just as ugly as `is()` expressions!)
- 🕒 `alias this`
- 🕒 `opDispatch`
- 🕒 Dramatic implementation improvements

WHY THE HISTORY MATTERS

- 🕒 We got here by incremental improvements

WHY THE HISTORY MATTERS

- 🕒 We got here by incremental improvements
- 🕒 Programmers follow the same learning curve

WHY THE HISTORY MATTERS

- 🕒 We got here by incremental improvements
- 🕒 Programmers follow the same learning curve
- 🕒 Metaprogramming is an unexpected strength of D

WHY THE HISTORY MATTERS

- 🕒 We got here by incremental improvements
- 🕒 Programmers follow the same learning curve
- 🕒 Metaprogramming is an unexpected strength of D
- 🕒 We still have some detritus

RETURN ON INVESTMENT (ROI)

☉ $(\text{Benefit} - \text{Cost}) / \text{Cost}$

RETURN ON INVESTMENT (ROI)

- ① $(\text{Benefit} - \text{Cost}) / \text{Cost}$
- ② At what time does this become positive?

RETURN ON INVESTMENT (ROI)

- ① $(\text{Benefit} - \text{Cost}) / \text{Cost}$
- ② At what time does this become positive?
- ③ The time until you obtain benefit can be as important as the cost!

RETURN ON INVESTMENT (ROI)

- ① $(\text{Benefit} - \text{Cost}) / \text{Cost}$
- ② At what time does this become positive?
- ③ The time until you obtain benefit can be as important as the cost!
- ④ $\text{Benefit} > \text{Cost}$ at $t = \text{infinity}$ is not enough!

RETURN ON INVESTMENT (ROI)

- ① $(\text{Benefit} - \text{Cost}) / \text{Cost}$
- ① At what time does this become positive?
- ① The time until you obtain benefit can be as important as the cost!
- ① $\text{Benefit} > \text{Cost}$ at $t = \text{infinity}$ is not enough!
- ① Who gets the benefit?

BACKWARDS COMPATIBILITY - EXPECTATION

- 🕒 Language changes must NEVER break code

BACKWARDS COMPATIBILITY - EXPECTATION

- 🕒 Language changes must NEVER break code
- 🕒 Except in extreme cases

BACKWARDS COMPATIBILITY - EXPERIENCE

- 🕒 Breaking code is an up-front cost

BACKWARDS COMPATIBILITY - EXPERIENCE

- 🕒 Breaking code is an up-front cost
- 🕒 But keeping mis-features is worse!

BACKWARDS COMPATIBILITY - EXPERIENCE

- 🕒 Breaking code is an up-front cost
- 🕒 But keeping mis-features is worse!
 - an on-going cost

BACKWARDS COMPATIBILITY - EXPERIENCE

- 🕒 Breaking code is an up-front cost
- 🕒 But keeping mis-features is worse!
 - an on-going cost
- 🕒 Gratuitous name changes have very poor ROI

BACKWARDS COMPATIBILITY - EXPERIENCE

- 🕒 Breaking code is an up-front cost
- 🕒 But keeping mis-features is worse!
 - an on-going cost
- 🕒 Gratuitous name changes have very poor ROI
- 🕒 If the benefit is instant, any cost is OK

BACKWARDS COMPATIBILITY - EXPERIENCE

- 🕒 Breaking code is an up-front cost
- 🕒 But keeping mis-features is worse!
 - an on-going cost
- 🕒 Gratuitous name changes have very poor ROI
- 🕒 If the benefit is instant, any cost is OK
 - eg if it catches a bug

BACKWARDS COMPATIBILITY - EXPERIENCE

- 🕒 Breaking code is an up-front cost
- 🕒 But keeping mis-features is worse!
 - an on-going cost
- 🕒 Gratuitous name changes have very poor ROI
- 🕒 If the benefit is instant, any cost is OK
 - eg if it catches a bug
- 🕒 Breaking changes can be met with enthusiasm!

METAPROGRAMMING

 Expectation

METAPROGRAMMING



Expectation

- Easier than in C++

METAPROGRAMMING



Expectation

- Easier than in C++
- But still only used by wizards, in libraries

METAPROGRAMMING

- 🕒 **Expectation**
 - Easier than in C++
 - But still only used by wizards, in libraries
- 🕒 **Experience**

METAPROGRAMMING

- 🕒 **Expectation**
 - Easier than in C++
 - But still only used by wizards, in libraries
- 🕒 **Experience**
 - Used even in application code!

METAPROGRAMMING



Expectation

- Easier than in C++
- But still only used by wizards, in libraries



Experience

- Used even in application code!
- Used even by new D programmers!

METAPROGRAMMING



Expectation

- Easier than in C++
- But still only used by wizards, in libraries



Experience

- Used even in application code!
- Used even by new D programmers!
- Entry level is very low

METAPROGRAMMING



Expectation

- Easier than in C++
- But still only used by wizards, in libraries



Experience

- Used even in application code!
- Used even by new D programmers!
- Entry level is very low
 - 'static if' is instantly understood

METAPROGRAMMING



Expectation

- Easier than in C++
- But still only used by wizards, in libraries



Experience

- Used even in application code!
- Used even by new D programmers!
- Entry level is very low
 - 'static if' is instantly understood
 - ROI is excellent

METAPROGRAMMING

- 🕒 **Expectation**
 - Easier than in C++
 - But still only used by wizards, in libraries

- 🕒 **Experience**
 - Used even in application code!
 - Used even by new D programmers!
 - Entry level is very low
 - 'static if' is instantly understood
 - ROI is excellent

- 🕒 **Improves programmer morale**

ERROR MESSAGES

 Expectation

ERROR MESSAGES



Expectation

- Lowest importance of any type of compiler bug

ERROR MESSAGES

- ① **Expectation**
 - Lowest importance of any type of compiler bug
- ② **Experience**

ERROR MESSAGES

- 🕒 **Expectation**
 - Lowest importance of any type of compiler bug
- 🕒 **Experience**
 - Make advanced features seem simpler

ERROR MESSAGES

- ① **Expectation**
 - Lowest importance of any type of compiler bug
- ② **Experience**
 - Make advanced features seem simpler
 - Have a pedagogic role

ERROR MESSAGES

- 🕒 **Expectation**
 - Lowest importance of any type of compiler bug
- 🕒 **Experience**
 - Make advanced features seem simpler
 - Have a pedagogic role
 - Good error messages save time.. and time is money

ERROR MESSAGES



Expectation

- Lowest importance of any type of compiler bug



Experience

- Make advanced features seem simpler
- Have a pedagogic role
- Good error messages save time.. and time is money
- Error messages are the reason we use statically-typed languages!

COMPILE TIME FUNCTION EXECUTION

 Expectation

COMPILE TIME FUNCTION EXECUTION

- 🕒 Expectation
- 🕒 Huge win! Used everywhere

COMPILE TIME FUNCTION EXECUTION

- 🕒 Expectation
- 🕒 Huge win! Used everywhere
- 🕒 Subliminal metaprogramming!

COMPILE TIME FUNCTION EXECUTION

- 🕒 Expectation
- 🕒 Huge win! Used everywhere
- 🕒 Subliminal metaprogramming!
- 🕒 Increased power will increase adoption

COMPILE TIME FUNCTION EXECUTION

- 🕒 Expectation
- 🕒 Huge win! Used everywhere
- 🕒 Subliminal metaprogramming!
- 🕒 Increased power will increase adoption
 - Pointers, throw exceptions, ...

COMPILE TIME FUNCTION EXECUTION

- 🕒 Expectation
- 🕒 Huge win! Used everywhere
- 🕒 Subliminal metaprogramming!
- 🕒 Increased power will increase adoption
 - Pointers, throw exceptions, ...
- 🕒 Experience

COMPILE TIME FUNCTION EXECUTION

- 🕒 Expectation
- 🕒 Huge win! Used everywhere
- 🕒 Subliminal metaprogramming!
- 🕒 Increased power will increase adoption
 - Pointers, throw exceptions, ...
- 🕒 Experience
- 🕒 CTFE hardly gets used, because it's too slow

COMPILE TIME FUNCTION EXECUTION

- 🕒 Expectation
- 🕒 Huge win! Used everywhere
- 🕒 Subliminal metaprogramming!
- 🕒 Increased power will increase adoption
 - Pointers, throw exceptions, ...
- 🕒 Experience
- 🕒 CTFE hardly gets used, because it's too slow
 - Fast compilation is addictive!

COMPILE TIME FUNCTION EXECUTION

- 🕒 Expectation
- 🕒 Huge win! Used everywhere
- 🕒 Subliminal metaprogramming!
- 🕒 Increased power will increase adoption
 - Pointers, throw exceptions, ...
- 🕒 Experience
- 🕒 CTFE hardly gets used, because it's too slow
 - Fast compilation is addictive!
- 🕒 Why isn't it fast yet?

COMPILE TIME FUNCTION EXECUTION

- 🕒 Expectation
- 🕒 Huge win! Used everywhere
- 🕒 Subliminal metaprogramming!
- 🕒 Increased power will increase adoption
 - Pointers, throw exceptions, ...
- 🕒 Experience
- 🕒 CTFE hardly gets used, because it's too slow
 - Fast compilation is addictive!
- 🕒 Why isn't it fast yet?
 - Because of the history

COMPILE TIME FUNCTION EXECUTION

- 🕒 Expectation
- 🕒 Huge win! Used everywhere
- 🕒 Subliminal metaprogramming!
- 🕒 Increased power will increase adoption
 - Pointers, throw exceptions, ...
- 🕒 Experience
- 🕒 CTFE hardly gets used, because it's too slow
 - Fast compilation is addictive!
- 🕒 Why isn't it fast yet?
 - Because of the history
 - Many unintended dependencies

COMPILE TIME FUNCTION EXECUTION

- 🕒 Expectation
- 🕒 Huge win! Used everywhere
- 🕒 Subliminal metaprogramming!
- 🕒 Increased power will increase adoption
 - Pointers, throw exceptions, ...
- 🕒 Experience
- 🕒 CTFE hardly gets used, because it's too slow
 - Fast compilation is addictive!
- 🕒 Why isn't it fast yet?
 - Because of the history
 - Many unintended dependencies
 - Front-end must be in a valid state!

 **Expectation**



Expectation

- Tutorials are almost irrelevant

- ① **Expectation**
 - Tutorials are almost irrelevant

- ① **Experience**

- ① **Expectation**
 - Tutorials are almost irrelevant

- ① **Experience**
 - Absence of tutorials is an embarrassment

COMPILER BUGS

- ☹ Much smaller problem than expected

COMPILER BUGS

- 🕒 Much smaller problem than expected
- 🕒 Template bugs rarely encountered in D1

COMPILER BUGS

- ☉ Much smaller problem than expected
- ☉ Template bugs rarely encountered in D1
- ☉ 64 bit code generation a nightmare

COMPILER BUGS

- 🕒 Much smaller problem than expected
- 🕒 Template bugs rarely encountered in D1
- 🕒 64 bit code generation a nightmare
 - But mostly a one-off cost borne by us

COMPILER BUGS

- 🕒 Much smaller problem than expected
- 🕒 Template bugs rarely encountered in D1
- 🕒 64 bit code generation a nightmare
 - But mostly a one-off cost borne by us
- 🕒 Otherwise, IDE bugs much worse

SUMMARY

- 🔄 D is moving out of research mode

SUMMARY

- 🕒 **D is moving out of research mode**
 - We can no longer ignore implementation issues

SUMMARY

- ① **D is moving out of research mode**
 - We can no longer ignore implementation issues
- ① **A Return-On-Investment model is useful**

SUMMARY

- 🕒 **D is moving out of research mode**
 - We can no longer ignore implementation issues
- 🕒 **A Return-On-Investment model is useful**
 - D must deliver value in the near-term

SUMMARY

- 🕒 **D is moving out of research mode**
 - We can no longer ignore implementation issues
- 🕒 **A Return-On-Investment model is useful**
 - D must deliver value in the near-term
- 🕒 **Metaprogramming is a strength of D in the real world**

SUMMARY

- 🕒 **D is moving out of research mode**
 - We can no longer ignore implementation issues
- 🕒 **A Return-On-Investment model is useful**
 - D must deliver value in the near-term
- 🕒 **Metaprogramming is a strength of D in the real world**
 - D does deliver ROI for Sociomantic Labs

SUMMARY

- ① **D is moving out of research mode**
 - We can no longer ignore implementation issues

- ② **A Return-On-Investment model is useful**
 - D must deliver value in the near-term

- ③ **Metaprogramming is a strength of D in the real world**
 - D does deliver ROI for Sociomantic Labs
 - But not yet in all areas

END

WE'RE HIRING!



www.sociomantic.com