

# C# to D

Adam Wilson  
Director of Software Development  
Prospective Software

# Topics Covered

- Syntax
- Properties
- Namespaces
- Generics
- 
- LINQ
- Collections
- 
- My Favorite Thing I can't do in D

# Random Syntax Notes

## C#

- var
- readonly
- string
- [Attributes]
- params int[]

## D

- auto
- immutable
- wstring
- @(Attributes)
- int[] ...

# Properties

- C#

```
public int data { get; protected set; }
```

```
public int data { get { return m_data; } set { m_data = value; } }
```

- D

```
@property int data() { return m_data; }
```

```
@property int data(int value) { return m_data = value; }
```

# Converting C# Properties to D

- Relatively straight-forward for standard C# properties
- Can be somewhat time consuming
- Find-and-Replace not useful
- Well suited to automated tooling

# Differences in Properties

- C# cannot take the address of a property
- Resolves D's awkward syntax when property is delegate
  - C# Example:

```
public Action<int> DelegateProp { get; }      //declaration
DelegateProp(1);      //call the delegate
```
  - D Example:

```
@property delegate(int) DelegateProp() { return m_data; }
DelegateProp()(1);    //UFCS requires the first parentheses
```
- The difference is semantic, but highly confusing.
- Have yet to encounter real-world need to take address of a property

# Namespaces

- C#

```
namespace System { }
```

```
namespace System.Text { }
```

- D

```
module System;
```

```
module Text;
```

# Systemic Differences

- C# Namespaces
  - Composable
  - Single namespace can span many source files
  - Structure is determined by the namespace itself
  - Used for logical source organization
- D Modules
  - Not Composable
  - Name can only exist in a single file
  - Uses directory structure to determine namespace
  - No intrinsic organization capability

# Converting C# Namespaces to D

- Create the corresponding directory structure
- Move source all C# source into a single file
- Place single source file in correct directory
- Set correct module name in source file
- Example:
  - namespace System.Text.RegularExpressions { }
  - System directory
    - Text directory
      - RegularExpressions.d

# Observations on Modules in D

- Modules are NOT Namespaces!
- Modules are more analogous to C# Nested Types
- A Module with the same name as the parent directory is an error
- Leaf namespace is really a source file
- Leaf-namespace-as-source-file encourages source files of unusual size
- Lack of composability also encourages source files of unusual size
- Lack of composability prevents programmer from extending module
- Example of Namespace Composability in C#: System.Utilities
  - <https://github.com/prospectivesoftware/systemutilities>

# More Observations on Modules in D

- Public imports reduce typing but also reduce import granularity
- Public import example in System directory:

```
module Imports;  
public import Text;  
public import Collections;  
public import IO;  
public import Net;  
public import ServiceModel;
```

# Potential Workaround for Public Imports

- Create an `Imports.d` for every package in the library
- System
  - `Imports.d`
  - Text
    - `Imports.d`
    - `RegularExpressions.d`
  - Collections
    - `Imports.d`
    - `Generic.d`
    - `Concurrent.d`
    - `Specialized.d`

# DIP15 & DIP16

- Resolves most limitations of D Modules in relation to C# Namespaces
- Renders previously demonstrated workaround unneeded
- Still not composable
- Credit to Martin Nowak and Andrei Alexandrescu
- Links: <http://wiki.dlang.org/DIP15> - <http://wiki.dlang.org/DIP16>
- Please support these DIP's!

# Generics

- C# Generics allow the users of the code to specify one or more types.
- Less flexible than D's template system
- Generics can be considered a subset of D's template system
- D's template constraints are considerably more expressive than C#'s
- C# Generic Constraints:
  - struct
  - class
  - new()
  - Base Class
  - Interface
  - Another generic type

# C# Generics Example

```
public class SomeBaseClass {}  
public class SomeOtherClass : SomeBaseClass {}
```

```
public class SomeClass<T> where T : SomeBaseClass  
{  
    private List<T> values;  
    void Add(T input) { values.Add(T); }  
}
```

# D Generics Example

```
public class SomeBaseClass {}  
public class SomeOtherClass : SomeBaseClass {}  
  
class SomeClass(T : SomeBaseClass)  
{  
    private T[] values;  
    public void Add(T input) { values ~= input; }  
}
```

# LINQ Set Operations

## C#

- Distinct
- Except
- Intersect
- Union

## D

- uniq
- setDifference
- setIntersection
- setUnion

# LINQ Aggregation Operations

## C#

- Aggregate
- Average
- Count
- LongCount
- Min
- Max
- Sum

## D

- reduce
- count
- min
- max

# LINQ Sorting Operations

## C#

- OrderBy
- OrderByDescending
- ThenBy
- ThenByDescending
- Reverse

## D

- sort
- sort
- reverse

# Other LINQ Operations

## C#

- Where
- GroupBy
- Contains
- Repeat
- SequenceEqual
- Concat

## D

- filter
- group
- canFind
- fill
- equal
- joiner

# LINQ vs. std.algorithm

- This list may not be complete
- Neither has everything available in the other, for example:
- There is no equivalent to map() in LINQ, use SelectMany and GroupBy
- Most common operations exist in both
- Equivalent operation names not always obvious
- Internal semantics of equivalent functions may differ
- Verify that your output is equivalent!

# D Containers for C# Programmers

## C#

- `T[]`
- `Dictionary< TKey, TValue >`
- `List< T >`
- *Not Available*
- `LinkedList< T >`
- `SortedSet< TValue >` (.NET 4.0+)  
`SortedDictionary< TKey, TValue >`

## D

- `T[]`
- `TValue[TKey]`
- `Array(T)`
- `SList(T)`
- `DList(T)`
- `RedBlackTree(TValue)`

# D Containers That Can

- Phobos provides equivalent functionality for basic C# collections operations
- Operations: Add/Insert/Remove/Clear/Empty/Length/Capacity
- Both support LINQ/std.algorithm operations.

# D Containers That Can't

- Std.container is missing many of the containers available in C#:
- Generic: Queue, Stack, HashSet, ObservableCollection
- Concurrent: ConcurrentDictionary, ConcurrentQueue, ConcurrentStack, ConcurrentBag, BlockingCollection
- Synchronized: SynchronizedCollection, SynchronizedKeyedCollection
- Read-Only: ReadOnlyCollection, ReadOnlyDictionary, ReadOnlyObservableCollection, SynchronizedReadOnlyCollection

# My Favorite Thing I can't do in D

```
public string Name { get { return GetValue(NameProperty); } set  
{ SetValue(NameProperty, value); } }
```

```
public static readonly DeltaProperty<string> NameProperty =  
DeltaProperty<string>.Register("Name", typeof(Customer), default(string), null);
```

# My Favorite Thing I can't do in D

```
public abstract class DeltaObject
{
    private ConcurrentDictionary<HashID, object> values;
    public T GetValue<T>(DeltaProperty<T> de)
    {
        object value;
        return values.TryGetValue(de.ID, out value) == false ? de.DefaultValue : (T)value;
    }
    public void SetValue<T>(DeltaProperty<T> de, T value)
    {
        object temp;
        if (EqualityComparer<T>.Default.Equals(value, de.DefaultValue)) values.TryRemove(de.ID, out temp);
        else temp = values.AddOrUpdate(de.ID, value, (p, v) => value);
    }
}
```

# My Favorite Thing I can't do in D

- A Pattern for Concurrently Mutable Data
- Why can't D do this?
  - Uses the 'default' keyword
  - Uses ConcurrentDictionary and ConcurrentQueue
  - Uses SHA2 hashing functions
- Implementation is simple, but relies on library and language features not present in D.
- Source: <https://github.com/prospectivesoftware/netpathsdk>

# .NET Namespace Comparison

- The following namespaces have no analog in Phobos:
- System.Collections (Except System.Collections.Generics)
- System.IO
- System.Text (Except Regular Expressions)
- System.Data
- System.Timers
- System.Printing

# A Call to Arms

- Much of the C# language can be ported to D
- Significant portions of the Base Class Library cannot be ported to D
- In practical examples, software that relies on even basic .NET BCL types cannot be easily ported
- However, there are many cases where D meets and even exceeds the capabilities of C#
- Containers are one of D's major weaknesses compared to C# and .NET
- How can we push containers forward today?