The Present and Future of the D programming Language

Átila Neves, Ph.D. DConf 2022

Timey Wimey Wibbly Wobbly





- Minimal recompiling daemon
- Sub 20ms iteration cycles
- Fix the language without breaking user's code
- Phobos v2 (and v3, v4, ...)
- "Header"-only Phobos
- More attribute inference



• Crawl before we can walk

- We have a GC so we're memory safe (except when not)
- @nogc exists (except not trivial)
- Justified complaints about unfinished features

- The preview switches
- shared
- std.experimental
- What else?

- Check to see if druntime/phobos/projects compile
- Check filed issues
- Decide whether to transition
- Print deprecation warnings *unless* -revert is used
- Switch the default: -revert can still be used

Remaining preview switches

- dip1008 (bug)
- fieldwise
- fixAliasThis
- rvalueRefParam (bug)
- nosharedaccess (bug)
- in
- inclusiveincontracts
- shortenedMethods

- Unclear what the community means by "unfinished"
- Bugs prevent -preview=nosharedaccess being made the default
- Focus on using shared directly is misguided
- Library help needed with something similar to fearless
- And/or structured concurrency in Phobos

- Shamelessly "inspired" by Rust's std::sync::Mutex
- Convention Driven Development doesn't scale
- DIP1000 helps with limiting access to the shared state

fearless — the opposite of BYOM

}

```
struct Foo { int i; }
auto foo = gcExclusive!Foo(42);
{
```

```
int* oldIntPtr; // only here to demonstrate scopes, see below
scope xfoo = foo.lock(); // get exclusive access
xfoo.i = 1; // ok, locked mutex
// ok to assign to a local that lives less
int* intPtr;
static assert(__traits(compiles, intPtr = &xfoo.i));
// not ok to assign to a local that lives longer
static assert(!__traits(compiles, oldIntPtr = &xfoo.i));
```

```
void func(Tid tid) @safe {
    receive(
        // ref Exclusive!Foo doesn't compile, use pointer instead
        // look ma, no shared
        (Exclusive!Foo* m) {
            auto xfoo = m.lock;
            xfoo.i++;
        },
    );
}
```

- Doesn't seem to have worked as intended
- checkedint merged months ago
- code.dlang.org seems like a better alternative
- std.sumtype validates this approach
- Phobos on dub?

- Unlike allocator and logger, it's quite small
- The plan: go over it and move to typecons

- I consulted with Robert over what needed finishing
- I looked at all the bugs that were open no showstoppers
- Recently moved to std.logger

- "Default" go-to allocators
- Synchronization setting global allocator state
- Lifetimes of the allocators themselves. RC?
- More examples of "classic" high-performance allocators in showcase
- Relationship between allocators and the GC?
- What is the "porcelain" of allocators?

• It can't be theAllocator / processAllocator

```
theAllocator = myAllocator;
{
    auto v0 = vector(1, 2);
    theAllocator = otherAllocator;
} // dtor called here: oops
```

- Only allow setting the process allocator once
- Only allow setting the thread allocator at thread creation
- Only allow replacing the allocator if no memory was allocated
- Only allow setting the allocator if the current one is the GC

- Solution: don't
- Aliasing makes this worse

• What are the examples?

- Conceptually the GC is an allocator
- But it has guarantees that no other allocator has

- Users shouldn't be allocating memory themselves
- Instead we should have library types to handle that:
 - vector
 - RC smart pointer
 - Unique smart pointer
- The focus, again, should be on high-level APIs
- Nobody should be calling malloc/free

- A lot of GC resistance is a perception issue
- @nogc is important for that
- But @nogc lacking in the allocator interface

- "Header"-only Phobos
- Phobos v2
- Editions
- More attribute inference
- And many more...

- Problem: distributed binary not built with same flags as the user's
- Origin of the unittest hack (since removed)
- dub?

Goals:

- Make breaking changes
- No changes to Phobos v1
- Share code between them
- @nogc

- Making breaking changes that don't break
- Opt-in per module
- Possible that we can't change everything
- Likely complicated compiler refactoring

- In practice, most D code seems to be open-source
- If the source is available. . . infer?
- Not just a D problem: constexpr Foo getFoo() noexcept const;

- The priority should be fixing bugs and finishing features
- Only then should we look to expand
- The focus should be in high-level usage
- Help needed fixing bugs

Slide intentionally left blank