

Analysis of the Design Space of a Container Library for D

(Academic rigor or pedanticism? You decide.)

Dr. Robert Schadek

DConf Online 2024

The Problem

- Everybody wants good containers like C++'s std::vector in D.

The Problem

- Everybody wants good containers like C++'s std::vector in D.

What is good?

Design Space

- @safe
- const ness
- const container
- const values
- allocators
- nested container
- iteration
- ranges
- bound checked index
- Exceptions / Error Handling
- value type, reference types, pointer types
- small size optimization
- multi threading / shared / lock-free ness
- performance
- container types

Bound Checks / Exceptions

```
1  struct Vec(T) {
2    @safe:
3    T[] arr;
4
5    void append(T t) {
6      this.arr ~= t;
7    }
8
9    ref T opIndex(size_t idx) @trusted {
10      if(idx >= this.arr.length) {
11        throw new Exception("OOB");
12      }
13      return *(arr.ptr + idx);
14    }
19      Nullable!(T*) opIndexN(size_t idx) {
20        if(idx >= this.arr.length) {
21          return Nullable!(T*).init;
22        }
23        return nullable(&arr[idx]);
24      }
25
26      bool opIndexNN(size_t idx
27                      , out Nullable!(T*) o)
28      @trusted {
29        if(idx >= this.arr.length) {
30          o = Nullable!(T*).init;
31          return false;
32        }
33        o = nullable(this.arr.ptr + idx);
34        return true;
35      }
```

Allocators

```
1 alias FList = FreeList!(Allocator, 0, unbounded);
2 alias Allocator = Segregator!(
3     8, FreeList!(Allocator, 0, 8),
4     128, Bucketizer!(FList, 1, 128, 16),
5     256, Bucketizer!(FList, 129, 256, 32),
6     512, Bucketizer!(FList, 257, 512, 64),
7     1024, Bucketizer!(FList, 513, 1024, 128),
8     2048, Bucketizer!(FList, 1025, 2048, 256),
9     3584, Bucketizer!(FList, 2049, 3584, 512),
10    4072 * 1024, AllocatorList!(
11        n) => BitmappedBlock!(4096)(
12            cast(ubyte[])(Allocator.instance.allocate(
13                max(n, 4072 * 1024)))),,
14    Allocator
15 );
```

Allocators

```
1 struct Vector1(T,A) {
2     A* allocator;
3 }
4
5 unittest {
6     Vector1!(int, Allocator) vec;
7
8     {
9         Allocator tuMalloc;
10        vec = Vector1!(int, Allocator)(&tuMalloc);
11    }
12 }
```

Allocators

```
1  struct Vector2(T,A) {
2      A* allocator;
3
4      @disable this(this);
5      @disable ref typeof(this) opAssign()(auto ref typeof(
6          this) rhs);
7
8  unittest {
9      Vector2!(int, Allocator) vec;
10
11     {
12         Allocator tuMalloc;
13         auto vec2 = Vector2!(int, Allocator)(&tuMalloc);
14
15         // The next line doesn't compile
16         //vec = Vector2!(int, Allocator)(&tuMalloc);
17     }
18 }
```

Iteration / Ranges

```
1 struct Vec(T) {
2     @safe:
3     T[] arr;
4
5     void append(T t) {
6         this.arr ~= t;
7     }
8
9     size_t length() @property {
10        return this.arr.length;
11    }
12
13    ref T opIndex(size_t idx) return scope { 13    }
14        return this.arr[idx];
15    }
16
17    ref T opIndexFast(size_t idx) @trusted {
18        return *(arr.ptr + idx);
19    }
1
2         ViaPtr!(T) slicePtr(size_t b
3             , size_t e) @trusted
4         {
5             return ViaPtr!(T)(this.arr.ptr + b
6                 , this.arr.ptr + e);
7         }
8         ViaIdx!(T) sliceIdx(size_t b
9             , size_t e) @trusted
10        {
11            return ViaIdx!(T)(&this, b, e);
12        }
13    }
```

Vialdx

```
1 struct ViaIdx(T) {
2     Vec!(T)* vec;
3     size_t idx;
4     size_t end;
5
6     ref T front() @property {
7         return this.vec.opIndexFast(idx);
8     }
9
10    void popFront() {
11        this.idx++;
12    }
13
14    bool empty() @property {
15        return this.idx >= this.end;
16    }
17 }
```

```
1     unittest {
2         Vec!(int) v;
3         foreach(i; 0 .. 10) {
4             v.append(i);
5         }
6
7         int i;
8         foreach(it; v.sliceIdx(0, 10)) {
9             int f = it;
10            assert(f == i);
11            ++i;
12        }
13        assert(i == 10);
14    }
```

ViaPtr

```
1 struct ViaPtr(T) {
2     T* ptr;
3     T* end;
4
5     ref T front() @property {
6         return *this.ptr;
7     }
8
9     void popFront() {
10        this.ptr++;
11    }
12
13    bool empty() @property {
14        return this.ptr >= this.end;
15    }
16 }
```

```
1     unittest {
2         Vec!(int) v;
3         foreach(i; 0 .. 10) {
4             v.append(i);
5         }
6
7         int i;
8         foreach(it; v.slicePtr(0, 10)) {
9             int f = it;
10            assert(f == i);
11            ++i;
12        }
13        assert(i == 10);
14    }
```

Constness

```
1 struct Vec(T) {
2     @safe:
3     T[] arr;
4
5     void append(T t) {
6         this.arr ~= t;
7     }
8
9     size_t length() const @property {
10        return this.arr.length;
11    }
12
13    ref inout(T) opIndex(size_t idx) inout {
14        return this.arr[idx];
15    }
```

This feels wrong

What can we gain

- Allocators
- Deterministic destruction

Container Types / What do we want

- Vector / Growable Array
- Hash Map / Hash Set

Container Types / What do we want

- Vector / Growable Array
- Hash Map / Hash Set
- Linked List

Container Types / What do we want

- Vector / Growable Array
- Hash Map / Hash Set
- ~~Linked List~~
- ~~Map / Set~~

What do we have to pay

- Allocators → `@safe`
- Deterministic destruction → interesting

Allocators → @safe

```
1 unsafe impl GlobalAlloc for MyAllocator {  
2     unsafe fn alloc(&self, layout: Layout) -> *mut u8 {  
3         System.alloc(layout)  
4     }  
5  
6     unsafe fn dealloc(&self, ptr: *mut u8, layout: Layout) {  
7         System.dealloc(ptr, layout)  
8     }  
9 }
```

Perfect is the enemy of good

Magic

```
1 void fun(const(int)[] arr) {
2 }
3
4 unittest {
5     const(int)[] a = [1,2,3];
6     fun(a);
7     const(int[]) b = [1,2,3];
8     fun(b);
9 }
```

More Magic

```
1  double median(ulong[] arr) pure {
2      if(arr.length % 2 == 0) {
3          return (cast(double)arr[($-1)/2] + arr[$/2]) / 2;
4      } else {
5          return arr[$ / 2];
6      }
7  }
8
9  unittest {
10     ulong[] byLength = readText(__FILE__)
11         .splitter()
12         .map!(s => s.length)
13         .array
14         .sort
15         .uniq
16         .array;
17     writeln("%s", median(byLength));
18 }
```

More Magic

```
1  unittest {
2      ulong[] byLength = readText(__FILE__)
3          .splitter()
4          .map!(s => s.length)
5          .array
6          .sort
7          .uniq
8          .array;
9
10     writeln("%s", median(byLength));
11     GC.free(byLength.ptr); // compiler generated
12 }
```

More Magic

```
1  unittest {
2      string txt = readText(__FILE__);
3      ulong[] a = txt
4          .splitter()
5          .map!(s => s.length)
6          .array
7          .sort
8          .array;
9
10     GC.free(cast(void*)txt.ptr);      // compiler generated
11
12     ulong[] byLength = a
13         .uniq
14         .array;
15
16     GC.free(a.ptr);                  // compiler generated
17     writeln("%s", median(byLength));
18     GC.free(byLength.ptr);          // compiler generated
19 }
```

Magic The Gathering

```
1  unittest {
2      void[string] i_am_a_set;
3  }
```

Rant

We can't have the cake and eat it too

- `@safe` + allocator
- barrier to entry
- understandable api
- good look and feel

More ranting

Why don't we have a de facto container library in code.dlang.org?

Conclusion

Conclusion

- Almost always use `int[]` or `int[string]`

Conclusion

- Almost always use `int[]` or `int[string]`
- Un-safe container that require an allocator

The End
