A Look at Type Introspection in Phobos v3

by Jonathan M Davis





Overall Goals

- Fix mistakes that we can't fix in Phobos v2 without breaking code.
- Apply the lessons we've learned over the years towards improving the design.
- Improve documentation.
- Improve tests.
- Improve some of the symbol names.



Phobos v2 -> v3

std.traits -> phobos.sys.traits

• std.meta -> phobos.sys.meta



traits and meta

• ___traits and trait templates give information about symbols.

• Meta templates operate on AliasSeqs (i.e. alias sequences)



traits

```
isDynamicArray!Foo
```

EnumMembers!Foo

```
__traits(isCopyable, Foo)
```

```
__traits(getOverloads, Foo, "bar")
```



AliasSeq

```
alias AliasSeq(TList...) = TList;
```

```
template AliasSeq(TList...)
{
    alias AliasSeq = TList;
}
```



meta



Some Design Choices

- Avoid implicit conversions as the default (e.g. enums are not their base type).
- Have traits operate on types unless they need to operate on symbols which aren't types.
- Minimize magic.
- Make what traits do as clear as possible.
- Give control rather than make assumptions.



Implicit Conversions are Problematic

- A template constraint only decides whether that template will be instantiated.
- Template specializations only decide which types match that particular overload.
- Implicit conversions are not actually forced.
- The code may fail to compile without the conversion, or it may work but do the wrong thing.



Template Specialization

```
T foo(T : long)(T t)
    return t + (long.max / 3);
void main()
{
    // compiles
    auto 1 = foo(long.init);
    // does not compile
    auto i = foo(int.init);
```



Template Constraint Which Allows Enums

```
enum E { a = 1, b = 4 }
 foo(T)(T t)
    if(isIntegral!T)
{
    return t + 22;
void main()
{
    // compiles
    auto i = foo(42);
    // does not compile
    auto e = foo(E.a);
```



isConvertibleToString

```
auto foo(R)(R range)
    if(isForwardRange!R && isSomeChar!(ElementType!R))
   return range;
auto foo(T)(T t)
    if(isConvertibleToString!T)
   return foo!(StringTypeOf!T)(t);
void main()
   // no problem
    auto str = foo("hello");
    // garbage
    char[5] sArr = "12345";
    auto unsafe = foo(sArr);
```



Implicit Conversions

In general, to deal with implicit conversions correctly, either

1. Force the implicit conversion within the function.

2. Have a non-templated overload which takes the type being converted to.



Enums Are Not Their Base Type

```
enum S : string { a = "hello", b = "world" }
static assert( isDynamicArray!string);
static assert(!isDynamicArray!S);
static assert( isDynamicArray!(OriginalType!S));
enum E { a = 0, b = 17, c = 42 }
static assert( isInteger!int);
static assert(!isInteger!E);
static assert( isInteger!(OriginalType!E));
```



typeof Is Ambiguous

```
typeof(12 + 19)
typeof(foo("hello"))
typeof(foo())
typeof(foo)
```



typeof Is Ambiguous

```
int foo;
static assert(is(typeof(foo) == int));
enum foo = 42;
static assert(is(typeof(foo) == int));
int foo();
static assert(is(typeof(foo) ==
                 ToFunctionType!(int function()));
```



typeof Is Ambiguous

```
func(foo);
auto bar = foo;
auto bar = var.foo;
```



Variables vs Functions

 The type of a variable as a symbol is the same as the type of of a variable as an expression.

The type of a function as a symbol is a function type.

 The type of a function as an expression is the return type of that function - or it's not a valid expression.



Getter Property

- A value, variable, or enum. Using it gets its value.
- A function which

1. can be called with no arguments - and thus can be called without parens.

2. returns a value.



Optional Parens and **@property** Create Ambiguity

```
typeof(foo())
```

typeof(foo)



Oproperty Makes Things Worse

- typeof on functions without @property gives the type of the function itself.
- **typeof** on functions with **@property** gives the type of the function as an expression.
 - For **@property** getter functions, **typeof** gives the return type.
 - For **Oproperty** setter functions, **typeof** gives an error.



Oproperty Makes Things Worse

- Without **Oproperty**, **typeof** would be consistent for all functions.
- Oproperty solves the problem in the wrong place.
 - ► If code is doing type introspection on the symbol itself, it always wants the type of the symbol itself.
 - ▶ If code is trying to determine the type of the symbol within an expression, then it always wants the type of the symbol as an expression.



Ideal Solution

typeof_sym(foo)

typeof_expr(foo)



Actual Solution

SymbolType!foo

PropertyType!foo



When to Use

• **SymbolType**: When getting the type of the symbol itself.

 PropertyType: When the symbol is going to be used in an expression as a getter property.

• typeof: When getting the type of a general expression.



Examples

```
isSomeFunction!foo // std.traits
```

```
is(SymbolType!foo == return)
isReturn!(SymbolType!foo)
```

```
hasIndirections!(SymbolType!foo)
hasIndirections!(PropertyType!foo)
```

```
isSignedInteger!(SymbolType!foo)
isSignedInteger!(PropertyType!foo)
```



Comparing Symbols

```
foo == bar
```

$$is(A == B)$$

```
__traits(isSame, foo, bar)
```



Comparing Symbols

```
enum a = 42;
enum b = 42;
static immutable int x = 42;
static immutable int y = 42;
static int z = 42;
static assert( isSame!(a, 42));
static assert( isSame!(42, a));
static assert( isSame!(a, b));
static assert( isSame!(x, 42));
static assert( isSame!(42, x));
static assert(!isSame!(x, y));
static assert( isSame!(z, z));
static assert(!isSame!(z, 42));
```



Comparing Symbols

```
enum a = 42;
int foo() { return 42; }
int bar() { return 42; }

static assert( isSame!(a, foo));
static assert( isSame!(foo, a));

static assert( isSame!(foo, foo));
static assert(!isSame!(foo, bar));
static assert(!isSame!(bar, foo));
```



More Template Predicates

```
template NoDuplicates(args...) {...}

template Unique(alias Pred, Args...) {...}

template staticIndexOf(args...) {...}

template indexOf(alias Pred, Args...) {...}
```



Example Predicates

isEqual

isSameSymbol

isSameType



Examples

```
alias Types = AliasSeq!(int, float, string, Object, string);
static assert(is(Unique!(isSameType, Types) ==
                 AliasSeq!(int, float, string, Object)));
alias values = AliasSeq!(17, 22, 49, 0, 22, 17, 99);
static assert(Unique!(isEqual, values) ==
              AliasSeq!(17, 22, 49, 0, 99));
void foo();
alias Stuff = AliasSeq!(int, 42, foo, int, foo, string);
static assert(indexOf!(isSameSymbol!foo, Stuff) == 2);
```



isCallable Can't Work

- isCallable attempts to say whether the given symbol is "callable."
- This works in simple cases, but in the general case, it's not possible.
- It's not possible with templated functions.
- It's problematic with types.
- In the general case, the only way to know if a symbol is "callable" is to see whether calling it with actual arguments compiles.



Callable?

```
// Does this count as callable?
auto t = T();
// Does this count as callable?
auto t = T(42);
// Until it's instantiated, it's not callable.
void foo(T)(T t)
{
}
```



Better Solution

Instead checking whether a symbol is "callable," do one of

 Test whether a function call compiles with a specific set of arguments.

2. Have the code only operate on types and require that the type be a function, function pointer, or delegate.



Default Initialization

 In principle, all types in D have a default value, and if a variable is not given an explicit value, it's default-initialized to its init value.

- In practice, there are corner cases where this is not true:
 - Structs can disable default initialization with @disable this();
 - Non-static nested structs have a context pointer.



When **T.init** Is a Problem

```
// This works even when default initialization
// is disabled.
auto t = T.init;
foo(T.init);
```

```
// If T is non-static nested struct, then the
// context pointer will be null.
auto t = T.init;
foo(T.init);
```



Incomplete Workaround

```
// If T has disabled default initialization, this
// will not compile.
auto t = T();
foo(T());
```

```
// If T is non-static nested struct, then the
// context pointer will be properly initialized.
auto t = T();
foo(T());
```



static opCall Is a Problem

```
struct S
{
   int i;

   static S opCall()
   {
      S retval;
      retval.s = 42;
      return retval;
   }
}
```

```
struct S
{
    static void opCall()
    {
    }
}
```



Better Solution

```
template defaultInit(T)
   if(is(typeof({T t;})))
{
   enum defaultInit =
        (){ T retval; return retval; }();
}
```

```
static assert(defaultInit!int == 0);
static struct S
{
   int i = 42;
}
static assert(defaultInit!S == S(42));
```



Bug in Destructor Detection

```
template hasComplexDestruction(S)
    static if (__traits(isStaticArray, S))
        enum bool hasComplexDestruction =
            S.sizeof && hasComplexDestruction!(BaseElemOf!S);
    else static if (is(S == struct))
        enum hasComplexDestruction =
            __traits(hasMember, S, "__xdtor");
    else
        enum bool hasComplexDestruction = false;
```

dconf 2025 4:



Bug in Destructor Detection

```
static struct S2 { ~this() {} }
static struct S3 { S2 field; }
static struct S6 { S3[0] field; }

static assert( hasComplexDestruction!S2);
static assert( hasComplexDestruction!S3);
static assert(!hasComplexDestruction!S6); // fails
```



Workaround

```
enum hasComplexDestruction =
   hasDtor([__traits(allMembers, S)]);
```

```
private bool hasDtor(string[] members)
{
    foreach (name; members)
    {
        if (name == "__xdtor")
            return true;
    }
    return false;
}
```



Questions?